

31st January 1931]

of Police being inconsiderable, they considered that as in other Provinces the C.I.D. should be in charge of a Deputy Inspector-General of Police. This Government concurred in the Government of India's views. They also found in practice that the C.I.D. work imposed too great a burden on the Inspector-General of Police who had extensive administrative and disciplinary duties and had to tour extensively. The sanction of the Secretary of State for India was therefore obtained for the creation of an additional post of a Deputy Inspector-General from 1st April 1930 in the place of the District Superintendent of Police originally asked for.

Mr. K. KOTI REDDI:—"May I know what is the inconvenience that was felt in the previous arrangement, i.e., combined C.I.D. work and railway administration work?"

The hon. Khan Bahadur Sir MAHOMED USMAN SAHIB Bahadur:—"Loss of efficiency."

*Prohibition of the film "Kick up Kishmet" at Tinnevely.*

\* 333 Q.—Mr. K. KOTI REDDI: Will the hon. the Home Member be pleased to state—

(a) whether it is a fact that in the town of Tinnevely the Golden Cinema Company was exhibiting on the 16th January and for two or three days previously an Indian film called "Kick up Kishmet";

(b) whether it is a fact that on the 16th January the District Superintendent of Police sent for Dr. Netto, the agent of the proprietor of the company, and asked him not to exhibit that portion of the film wherein the heroine is seen spinning a charka;

(c) whether it is a fact that from the 17th January, the portion of the film above referred to was omitted from being exhibited; and

(d) why and under what authority the District Superintendent acted like that?

A.—(a) to (d) The Government have no information but have called for it.

*Alleged police action against some students of St. Aloysius College, Mangalore.*

\* 334 Q.—Mr. U. C. SUBRAHMANYA BHATT: Will the hon. the Home Member be pleased to state—

(a) whether any students of the St. Aloysius College, Mangalore, submitted petitions to His Excellency the Governor or to the Private Secretary recently in connexion with the alleged police action against them on the occasion of His Excellency the Governor's visit to their college in October 1930; and

(b) whether the Government have made inquiries into the circumstances of the alleged incident and, if so, what are the facts of the case?